	I USDC SDNY		
	DOCUMENT		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	ELECTY		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DOC #		
X	DAJET 3/3/08		
:			
ANTHONY HARRIS,	, ,		
:			
Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>		
:			
- against -	07 Civ. 6939 (SAS)		
:			
CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,			
:			
Defendants.			
X			
SHIRA A SCHEINDLIN IISD I.			

A review of the Court records indicates that the complaint in this action was filed on August 2, 2007, and that there is no proof of service of the summons and complaint on file. Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

If service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, the court, upon motion or on its own initiative after notice to the plaintiff, shall dismiss the action without prejudice, as to that defendant or direct that service be effected within a specified time; provided that if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court shall extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

On November 21, 2008, plaintiff was directed to effect service by January 31, 2008. However, plaintiff claims that he did not receive the materials to effect service, sent by the Court's Pro Se Office on November 27, 20007.

Therefore, to effect service through the United States Marshals, the Pro Se Office

sent plaintiff a supplemental Rule 4 mailing package on February 5, 2008.

Because the 120-day limit has since expired, plaintiff may have a short extension,

until April 18, 2008, in which to effect service.

Plaintiff is directed to have the summons and complaint promptly

served on the defendants by filling out and forwarding to the U.S. Marshal the

forms provided to plaintiff by the Pro Se office. If service is not made upon the

defendants or plaintiff fails to show good cause why such service has not been

effected by April 18, 2008, this Court will dismiss the action.

The Pro Se Clerk at the United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street,

New York, New York 10007, telephone number (212) 805-0175, may be of

assistance in connection with court procedures but cannot give legal advice.

SO ORDERED:

Shira A. Scheindlin

U.S.D.J.

Dated: New York, New York

March 3, 2008

- Appearances -

Plaintiff (Pro Se):

Anthony Harris # 241-07-17993 18-18 Hazen Street East Elmhurst, NY 11370

SOUT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK					
	Plaintiff,	: <u>SCHEDULING ORDER</u>				
		:	Civ.	(SAS)		
- against -	: Conference Date:					
	:					
	Defendant(s).	:				
SHIR	A A. SCHEINDLIN, U.S.D.J.:	- X				
R. Civ	WHEREAS, the Court issued an v. P. 16(b) on	Order for a 0 (the "Order);		accordance with Fe	d.	
propo	WHEREAS, the Order requires the osed scheduling order containing c	•		re and sign a		
requii	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties red by the Order:	hereby subn	nit the following	g information as		
(1)	the date of the conference and the appearances for the parties;					
(2)	a concise statement of the issues	s as they the	n appear;			
(3)	a schedule including:					
	(a) the names of persons to be deposed and a schedule of planned depositions;					
	(b) a schedule for the production of documents;					
and	(c) dates by which (i) each expe	rt's reports w	ill be supplied	to the adverse side	;	
	(ii) each expert's deposition will be completed;					
	(d) time when discovery is to be completed;					
	(e) the date by which plaintiff will supply its pre-trial order matters to defendant;					

- (f) the date by which the parties will submit a pre-trial order in a form conforming with the Court's instructions together with trial briefs and either (1) proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for a non-jury trial, or (2) proposed voir dire questions and proposed jury instructions, for a jury trial; and
- (g) a space for the date for a final pre-trial conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(d), to be filled in by the Court at the conference.

(leave blank)

- (4) a statement of any limitations to be placed on discovery, including any protective or confidentiality orders;
- (5) a statement of those discovery issues, if any, on which counsel, after a good faith effort, were unable to reach an agreement;
- (6) anticipated fields of expert testimony, if any;
- (7) anticipated length of trial and whether to court or jury;
- (8) a statement that the Scheduling Order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause not foreseeable at the time of the conference of when justice so requires;
- (9) names, addresses, phone numbers and signatures of counsel:

SO ORDERED:

SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN U.S.D.J.